

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 7, Page 734-748, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119754 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Crop Establishment, Residue Retention and Nutrient Management Influence the Phenology-mediated Greenhouse Gases Emission in an Intensive Ricewheat System

Suborna Roy Choudhury ^a, Chandan Kumar Panda ^b, Devashish Kumar ^a, Pravesh Kumar ^a and Anupam Das ^{c*}

^a Department of Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur, Bihar -813210, India. ^b Department of Extension Education, Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur, Bihar -813210, India. ^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur, Bihar - 813210, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i74314

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119754

Original Research Article

Received: 13/05/2024 Accepted: 15/07/2024 Published: 24/07/2024

ABSTRACT

The impact of management practices (i.e. crop establishment, tillage, residue addition etc.) on the global warming potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) in rice-wheat cropping system accounting the economic viability is sparsely documented. A field experiment was

*Corresponding author: E-mail: anupam0281 @bausabour.ac.in;

Cite as: Choudhury, Suborna Roy, Chandan Kumar Panda, Devashish Kumar, Pravesh Kumar, and Anupam Das. 2024. "Crop Establishment, Residue Retention and Nutrient Management Influence the Phenology-Mediated Greenhouse Gases Emission in an Intensive Rice-Wheat System". International Journal of Environment and Climate Change 14 (7):734-48. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i74314. Choudhury et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 734-748, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119754

established in 2020 to gain insight crop phonology mediated greenhouse gas emission into GWP. GHGI and economic viability on crop seasonal scale over three cycles (2020, 2021 and 2022) of rice-wheat rotations under subtropical climatic condition. Treatments were three planting techniques viz., System of rice intensification (SRI) followed by conventional wheat without residues (SRI-CW), Puddle Transplanted rice (TPR) followed by CW with 30% rice residue incorporation (TPR-CWR) and zero-till direct sowing of rice (ZT-DSR) followed by ZT wheat with 30% rice residue retention (ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr}) and four different nutrient management practices viz., 100% NPK (as per recommended dose) through mineral fertiliser (100% NPK_i), 75% NPK through mineral fertiliser with 25% N trough organics (75% NPK_i + 25%N_{Org}), 50% NPK through mineral fertiliser with 50% N trough organics (50% NPKi + 50% Norg.) was followed in both rice and wheat crop and 100% NPK through mineral fertiliser (100% NPKi) along with mung bean (Vigna radiata) green manure in rice and 100% NPK through mineral fertiliser in wheat (100% NPKi + GM). All treatments were established in a split-plot design and repeated three times; where three planting techniques were arranged in main plots and four different nutrient management practices were arranged in sub-plots. The highest system productivity was obtained under ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} treatment. Moreover, this system reduced the CH₄ and N₂O emission by 62.7 and 48% respectively over TPR-CW_{Ri}, hence, the Global Warming Potential (GWP), as well as gaseous intensity (GHGI), were reduced by 2.0-2.18 and 2.13-2.20 times, respectively than the traditional technique of cultivation. Green manure behaves differently by increasing the system productivity by 4.27% was and reducing the GHGI 4.56% over 100% NPKi. Thus, ZTDSR-ZTW_{Br} along with 100% NPKi and green manuring in rice could be an economically viable opportunity for maintaining future yield standard of the system with lower emission scenario.

Keywords: Direct seeded rice; zero-tillage; rice; wheat; greenhouse gas intensity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Global environmental changes have exposed our food supply chain to an intricate situation [1] especially for South Asian countries, where the rice-wheat system prevailed centuries together. Although, the Green revolution undoubtedly promotes our food grain production but blanket fertilizers' application especially nitrogenous fertilizer, which caused an unprecedented decline in rice and wheat production ~1% at present days [2] and most perilously affected the environment with higher greenhouse gases (GHGs) concentration. Irrigated transplanted flooded rice system predominates over the globe that contributes 75% of rice consumed [3], is the most preferable cultivation system towards farmers, which is water expensive [4,5] and GHGs productive. Economic utilization of water and labour is boon for today's cultivation but in near future, these two will be the scarcest resources [6].

Another important aspect is the anthropogenic GHGs emission that alley the major contributor to global climate change [7,8]. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emission in the agricultural system is mainly of soil origin that accounts for ~20% of global N₂O emission [9] which is about 60% of total anthropogenic N₂O emissions. Soil and root respiration accounted for 20% of the total

emission through CO₂ and 12% through CH₄ emission [10]. Although, CO₂ is considered as one of the GHGs, but it used to counter balance by CO₂ fixation in the terrestrial ecosystem as net primary productivity and thus its effective contribution in global warming potential (GWP) is less than 1% [11]. CH₄ and N₂O having global warming potential 25 and 298, respectively than that of CO₂ over century's time span [10]. Thus, they are primarily responsible for global warming. Global warming mostly influences the carbon cycle, and thus the structures and functions of the ecosystem are changing [12] that are designated as climate change. Soil and environmental factors are mostly governing the GHGs emission and those factors are mostly influenced by the management practices in agriculture.

But, drastic change in cultivation technique raises an issue of adaptability. Little Modification in crop establishment method and tillage becomes effective to address the issue. System of rice intensification (SRI), direct-seeded rice (DSR) along with succeeding zero-till wheat crop is some common modifications in management practices under rice-wheat cropping system. The effect of these planting methods and tillage on crop performance, water productivity was evaluated by many researchers [13,14]. Environmental impact of these management practices especially GHGs emission was scanty. Another important aspect of crop production is management especially nutrient nitrogen. Application of nitrogenous fertilizers and organic manures augment the emissions of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ from soils [15-17]. But the impact of the conjoint application of mineral fertilizer and organics on GHGs emission together with crop planting techniques at different crop phenological stage in rice-wheat cropping system is very sparse. Rice straw incorporation in wheat crop now becomes a common farmer's practice but, its impact on CH₄ and N₂O dynamics is insufficient. Interaction among establishment method/tillage, nutrient and residue management on greenhouse gas emission and greenhouse gas intensity is not yet well-understood. Keeping these in view, this study was conducted to examine the effect of planting technique and nutrient management on phenology mediated emissions at different crop growth stages and its relation to agronomic productivity, profitability and greenhouse gas intensity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Site Description

A field experiment was conducted for three consecutive years during 2020-2022 at the Research field of Bihar Agricultural University (BAU) (25°23'N, 87°07'E, 37.19 m MSL), Sabour, Bihar-India. Before the initiation of the experiment, a uniformity trial was done with wheat crop during rabi 2019. The initial characteristics of soil in the experimental site

were loamy textured (Sand-50%, Silt-28% and Clay-22%), having $pH_{1:2.5}$ 7.3, electrical conductivity (EC_{1:2}) 0.25 dS m⁻¹, organic carbon 4.9 g kg⁻¹, available nitrogen 168.5 kg N ha⁻¹, available phosphorus 35.2 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and available potassium 135.4 kg K₂O ha⁻¹.

2.2 Agro-climatic Condition

The experimental site was situated under the sub-tropical climate with desiccating summer and cool winter. The mean maximum temperature was 35-39°C and minimum temperature 5-10°C. The annual rainfall was about 1250 mm but 80% of the rainfall precipitated between mid-June and mid-October. Daily mean values of the weather parameters during the experimentation (Fig. 1) were obtained from university's meteorological observatory.

2.3 Experimental Details and Crop Management

The field experiment was conducted in split-plot design keeping planting technique as the main plot treatment and nutrient management as subplot treatment with three replications. The planting techniques were: the system of rice intensification (SRI) followed by conventional wheat (SRI-CW), Puddle Transplanted rice (TPR) followed by conventional wheat with 30% rice residue incorporation (TPR-CWRi) and zerotill direct-seeded rice (ZT-DSR) followed by zerotill wheat with 30% rice residue retention (ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr}). Four different nutrient management practices were followed in the



2020



Fig. 1. Meteorological data of the experimental Years 2020, 2021, 2022

study. These were 100% NPK (as per recommended dose) through mineral fertiliser (100% NPK_i), 75% NPK through mineral fertiliser with 25% N trough organics (75% NPK + 25%Norg.), 50% NPK through mineral fertiliser with 50% N trough organics (50% NPK_i + 50% N_{Org.}) was followed in both rice and wheat crop according to their recommended dose of fertilizer i.e. 100 kg N + 40 kg P_2O_5 + 20 kg K_2O ha⁻¹ in rice and 120 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ + 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ in wheat. In forth treatment, green gram (Vigna radiata) was used as a green manure crop in rice along with the 100% NPK, whereas in wheat simply 100% NPK was applied as mineral fertilizer (100% NPK_i +GM). Vermicompost was used as an organic supplement (N content 1.20%). The amount of rice residue applied in the subsequent wheat crop was 30% of the total rice straw yield either applied on to the field for residue incorporation (Ri) or kept as such on the soil surface after rice harvest for residue retention (Rr). Best management practices were adopted during experimentation as described in Table 1.

2.4 Crop Harvest and Yield

Mature crops from entire plots were harvested and threshed separately by manually. Yield was converted to t ha⁻¹. The grain yield of rice and wheat is reported at14% and 12%, grain moisture, respectively. The productivity of different treatments was compared using system

Crop	Variety	Spacing	Seed rate		Fertiliser management
			(kg ha⁻¹)	Туре	Time of application
Rice	Rajendra Suwashini	SRI- 25 x 25 cm TPR-20 x 15 cm DSR-Manual line	SRI- 5 kg ha ⁻¹ TPR- 50 kg ha ⁻¹ DSR- 30 kg ha ⁻¹	Urea Diammonium phosphate (DAP) Muriate of potash (MoP)	Full dose phosphorus and potassium were applied as DAP and MoP respectively as basal and along with 1/3 of the N through DAP and urea and remaining N was top-dressed through urea in two equal splits at
		Sowing			maximum tillering and panicle emergence)
Wheat	HD-2967	Row to Row- 22 cm	100 kg ha ⁻¹	Urea Diammonium phosphate (DAP) Muriate of potash (MoP)	Full dose phosphorus and potassium were applied as DAP and MoP respectively as basal and along with 1/3 of the N through DAP and urea and remaining N was top-dressed through urea in two equal splits at maximum tillering and ear head emergence)

Table 1. Crop management during the experiment

productivity as rice equivalent yield (t ha⁻¹) and was calculated using the following equation:

 $\frac{\text{Rice equivalent yield (t ha^{-1}) = }}{\frac{\text{Wheat yield (t ha^{-1}) X Minimum support price of wheat (INR t^{-1})}{\text{The minimum support price of Rice (INR t^{-1})}}$ (Eq. 1)

2.5 Greenhouse gases (GHGs) Collection and Analysis

The gas samples i.e. CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ were collected using closed Pyrex glass gas chamber (volume- 0.32 m³) using 50 mL disposable syringe with leur lock at 0, 30 and 120 minutes interval from each plot. The Gas samples were analyzed for CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O concentrations through gas chromatography (Model: Trace GC 1110, Make: Thermofisher) built with electron capture detector (ECD) and flame ionization detector (FID). Methanizer was used for the reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ using a nickel catalyst. Nitrogen was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 35 mL min-1. The column and detector were maintained at 60°C and 300°C, respectively. The gaseous flux was measured at different crop growth stages viz., maximum tillering, panicle initiation (rice) or ear head emergence (wheat) and physiological maturity stage of the crops. The fluxes were calculated using the following [18]:

$$F = \rho H \left(\frac{dC}{dt}\right) 273 (273 + T)^{-1}$$
 (Eq. 2)

where 'F' is the emission flux (mg m⁻² hr⁻¹), ' ρ ' is the density of gas at STP, 'H' is the height of chamber above the soil surface (m), 'C' is the gas concentration (mg m⁻³), 't' is the time intervals of each time (hr), and 'T' is the air temperature in absolute scale inside the chamber during sampling.

2.6 Global Warming Potential (GWP) and GHGs Intensity (GHGI)

GWP was calculated by the following formula (Eq. 3) [19] after converting individual emission to their respective CO_2 equivalents.

$$GWP (CO_2 equivalent Kg ha^{-1}) = (CO_2) + (CH_4 x 25) + (N_2 0 x 298)$$
(Eq. 3)

The equivalent GWP coefficients for CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O were 1, 25 and 298, respectively considering their emission potential in the 100-year time frame as described in IPCC,

2007.GHGI was estimated based on grain produced [19,20]:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{GHGI}\left(\text{Kg CO}_{2 \text{ eq.}}\text{Kg}^{-1} \text{ grain yield}\right) = \\ \frac{\text{GWP}}{\text{Grain yield}} \qquad \qquad (\text{Eq. 4}) \end{array}$$

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed through split-plot design as followed during the field experiment. The treatment influence was calculated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% probability levels ($p \le 0.05$) [21]. Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) was carried out using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) [22] where ANOVA was significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Methane Emission

Methane (CH₄) emission was mostly influenced by the crop growing season and planting technique as compared to nutrient management. But the emission behaviour at different crop phenology was mostly governed by their planting techniques [p (PT*PS)=<0.0001] rather than nutrient management [p (NM*PS)= 0.325] (Table 2; Figs. 2 and 3). Although the methane emission was highest at the maximum tillering stage and gradually declined as the maturity progress, but the extent of the decline was the highest in ZTDSR and least in TPR. Amusingly, the magnitude of methane emission was highest in TPR (26.3 mg m⁻² hr⁻¹) followed by SRI (20.7 mgm⁻²hr⁻¹) and lowest in ZTDSR (9.8 mgm⁻²hr⁻¹). Methane emission was almost negligible in wheat as compared to rice and key contributor of total GWP in rice ecology.

3.2 Carbon Dioxide Emission

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission was significantly influenced by planting technique and nutrient management practices. ZTDSR imparted highest CO_2 emission (20.1-24.0 mg m⁻² hr⁻¹) followed by SRI-CW (13.0-15.8 mgm⁻²hr⁻¹) and TPR-CWRi mgm⁻²hr⁻¹) (Figs. 2 (11.7-14.3 and 3). Phonological emission of CO₂ was similar to the CH₄ emission in both the crop. Nutrient management also significantly influenced CO₂ emission. Application of organics (50% NPKi + 50% Norg.) augmented the CO2 emission by ~31% as compared to 100% NPKi. There were about 2.5 times more CO2 emission was recorded in wheat than rice, however: the emission trend was similar for both the crops.

3.3 Nitrous Oxide Emission

Nitrous oxide emission is the key contributor of the total GWP in aerobic ecosystem alike the wheat in our experiments (Figs. 2 and 3). Among the different crop phenology, maximum tillering stage of wheat emitted highest N₂O (0.89 mgm⁻ ²hr⁻¹) and reduced gradually at ear head emergence (0.68 mgm⁻²hr⁻¹) and at harvesting (0.39 mgm⁻²hr⁻¹) which was about 8-15 times higher than the nitrous oxide emission in rice. Interestingly, ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} attributed lowest N₂O emission in both the crops which were 54.5% lower than the TPR-CW. Nitrous oxide emission was aggravated by the extent of mineral nitrogenous fertilizer used. Thus, 100% NPK_i recorded the highest N₂O emission as compared to other nutrient management practices. This ill effect could be compensated either by green manure with 100% NPKi (~13.4%) application or conjoint application of organic and mineral fertiliser (29-34%). Interaction of planting technique and nutrient management had a profuse influence on N2O emission. Thus, ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} along with 50% NPK_i + 50% N_{org}lower down the N₂O emission by ~73.5% compared to conventional production practice in the rice-wheat system (TPR-CW along with 100% NPK_i) (Fig. 2).

3.4 GWP and GHGI

The emission behaviour of the greenhouse gases (GHGs) was enormously varied with the cropping season as well as the management practices and their cumulative impact could be capture by the GWP. Rice attributed 1.6-2.6 times higher GWP than the wheat crop. This was mainly due to the higher total methane emission in rice. TPR-CW_{Ri} attributed the highest GWP (28262 Kg CO_{2 eq} ha⁻¹) followed by SRI-CW (26065 Kg CO_{2 eq} ha⁻¹) and ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} (12979 Kg CO2 eq ha-1) contributed lowest GWP Table 3, Fig. 3). In addition, the nutrient management practices also had a significant impact on GWP (Table 3). Greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) signifies the relative impact of GWP as a function of crop yield. The result showed that ZTDSR-ZTWRr system contributed least GHGI among the planting techniques (1.42 Kg CO_{2 ea}kg⁻¹ grain yield) and among the nutrient management practices 100% NPKi + GM possessed lowest GHGI (2.32 Kg CO_{2 eg} ha⁻¹).

3.5 Grain Yield and Economics

Rice and wheat grain yield were significantly influenced by their planting technique and

nutrient management practices (Table 4). $ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr}$ attributed the highest system productivity (9.13 t ha⁻¹) followed by TPR-CWRi (9.04 t ha⁻¹) and SRI-CW (8.60 t ha⁻¹). Rice grain yield was excelled in SRI (4.87 t ha-1) and wheat grain yield performed better under ZTW_{Rr} (4.70 t ha⁻¹). Basically, the zero till wheat with residue retention gained advantage when sown after the zero till direct seeded rice. Further, the nutrient management practices exerted а similar influence on grain yield of rice and wheat, although, the inclusion of green manure crop had an excel over in rice grain yield by 4.32% over 100% NPK_i that eventually increased the system productivity by 4.27%. The interaction of planting techniques and nutrient management showed no any significance influence on grain yield of both the crops and system productivity [(p=0.8358 (rice), p= 0.9832 (wheat), p= 0.9012 (system)]. A similar influence was depicted in system profitability (B:C ratio). ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} recorded the highest net B:C ratio (1.92) followed by SRI-CW (1.53) and TPR-CW_{Ri} (1.41) (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Effect of Planting Techniques and Nutrient Management Practices on CH₄ Emission

Rice is the major of atmospheric CH₄ (Tables 2 and 3; Figs. 2 and 3) emitter. Submerged conditions favour CH₄ emission resulted from the carbon mineralization [23]. The higher CH₄ emission was found at maximum tillering stage. Jia et al. [24] articulated a higher CH₄ emission occurs at this stage mostly due to the lower rhizospheric CH₄ oxidation. ΖT showed significantly lower methane emissions than CT (Table 3) because, in ZT, there was no disturbance of soil caused less exposure of organic matter as caused by the tillage operation [25]. Organic manure application was further augmented the CH₄ emission by providing labile carbon sources [26-28]. A significant impact of tillage operation was observed during rabi seasons on the emission of methane. We found that the ZT system applied to wheat resulted in significantly higher CH₄ emissions than the conventional tillage (CT) systems. Previous studies [29,30] also confirmed that zero tillage attributed higher SOC and moisture content in the surface layers than CT. Methane emission might be influenced by a higher level of organic carbon content and comparatively anaerobic condition of soil microsites under ZT during the rabi - season [31].

Season	Factor	df		CH₄ (mg m² h	r-1)		CO₂ (mg m² h	r ⁻¹)		N₂O (mg m² h	r ⁻¹)
			SS	F	Р	SS	F	Р	SS	F	Р
Rice	PT	2	50.55	5270.85	<0.0001	56.22	3600.89	<0.0001	0.049	8911.70	<0.0001
	NM	3	2.06	143.36	<0.0001	3.90	166.66	<0.0001	0.004	503.48	<0.0001
	PT*NM	6	0.42	14.59	<0.0001	0.11	2.26	0.0485	0.0001	6.21	<0.0001
	PS	2	2.82	294.31	<0.0001	1.79	114.39	<0.0001	0.003	562.44	<0.0001
	PT*PS	4	1.94	100.93	<0.0001	0.07	2.47	0.0529	0.0005	50.37	<0.0001
	NM*PS	6	0.03	1.19	0.3251	0.40	8.47	<0.0001	0.0001	9.88	<0.0001
	PT*NM*PS	12	0.16	2.75	0.0044	0.25	2.71	0.0050	0.0004	12.90	<0.0001
	Model	41	58.04	295.19	<0.0001	62.76	196.08	<0.0001	0.058	510.10	<0.0001
	Error	66	0.32			0.515			0.0002		
			SS	F	Р	SS	F	Р	SS	F	Р
Wheat	PT	2	0.62	3290.35	<0.0001	478.97	6595.34	<0.0001	4.24	1300.78	<0.0001
	NM	3	0.07	253.09	<0.0001	60.07	551.45	<0.0001	1.38	283.56	<0.0001
	PT*NM	6	0.005	10.20	<0.0001	0.79	3.65	0.0034	0.03	3.41	0.0054
	PS	2	0.057	304.29	<0.0001	7.32	100.82	<0.0001	4.50	1381.97	<0.0001
	PT*PS	4	0.0009	2.44	0.0555	2.26	15.62	<0.0001	0.15	22.66	<0.0001
	NM*PS	6	0.0014	2.61	0.0248	0.28	1.29	0.2733	0.08	7.84	<0.0001
	PT*NM*PS	12	0.0043	3.87	0.0002	0.37	0.84	0.6082	0.21	11.01	<0.0001
	Model	41	0.758	197.26	<0.0001	550.31	369.64	<0.0001	10.61	158.83	<0.0001
	Error	66	0.006			2.39			0.107		

Table 2. Two-way ANOVA for the effects of the crop planting technique (PT), nutrient management (NM) and the crop phenological stage (PS) on the CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O emissions during the two annual rice-wheat rotations of 2013 and 2014

Treatments		Т	otal emissi	ion (Kg ha ⁻¹)			GV	VP		GH	GI
	C	H₄	(CO ₂	١	N ₂ O	(Kg CO	_{2 eq} ha ⁻¹)	(Kg	g CO₂ _{eq} kg⁻¹	Grain yield)
	Rice	Wheat	Rice	Wheat	Rice	Wheat	Rice	Wheat	Rice	Wheat	System
Crop Planting Technique											
SRI-CW	627.98b	4.94b	442.51b	1193.47b	1.56b	27.32a	16608b	9457a	3.41b	2.71a	3.03b
TPR-CWRi	795.31a	7.56a	394.13c	1900.08a	0.84c	18.95b	20526a	7736b	4.42a	1.88b	3.13a
ZTDSR-ZTWRr	297.36c	2.12c	675.36a	342.72c	2.41a	12.60c	8829c	4150c	2.15c	0.88c	1.42c
Nutrient Management											
100% NPKi	515.59b	3.93d	340.70c	902.16c	1.86a	24.80a	13784b	8390a	2.98d	1.99a	2.43c
75% NPKi + 25%N _{Org}	590.89a	5.14b	413.28b	1205.57b	1.48b	17.24c	15627a	6471b	3.52b	1.64b	2.55b
50% NPKi + 50% Norg	629.09a	5.85a	491.90a	1485.79a	1.37b	16.03c	16629a	6408b	3.90a	1.67b	2.76a
100% NPK _i +GM	557.42b	4.44c	366.91c	988.85c	1.70a	20.66b	14810ab	7258ab	3.07c	1.65b	2.32d
P-Value	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Table 3. Effect of planting technique and nutrient management on total GHGs emission in the rice-wheat cropping system

Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 by Duncan's multiple range test

Table 4. Effect of planting technique and nutrient management on grain yield and economics	5
in a rice-wheat cropping system	

Treatments	Yie	eld (Mg ha⁻¹)	REY (Mg ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio	
	Rice	Wheat			
Crop Planting Technique					
SRI-CW	4.87a	3.49c	8.60b	1.53b	
TPR-CW _{Ri}	4.64b	4.12b	9.04a	1.41c	
ZTDSR-ZTW _{Rr}	4.11c	4.70a	9.13a	1.92a	
Nutrient Management					
100% NPKi	4.63b	4.21a	9.13c	1.68b	
75% NPK _i + 25%N _{Org}	4.44c	3.95b	8.66b	1.56c	
50% NPKi + 50% Norg	4.26d	3.83b	8.35b	1.48c	
100% NPKi +GM	4.83a	4.39a	9.52a	1.84a	
P-Value	**	**	**	**	
M*S	0.8358	0.9832	0.9012	0.3135	

Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 by Duncan's multiple range test





Fig. 2. Seasonal emission of GHGs emission in various Crop Growth Stages of rice and wheat as influenced by planting technique [The boxes in the above figure in each cluster indicate the different crop growth stage (From left maximum tillering, Panicle initiation (rice)/Ear Head emergence (wheat) and maturity stage)]

4.2 Effect of Planting Techniques and Nutrient Management Practices on CO₂ Emission

GHGs emission from agricultural soil is a result of a complex interaction between climate and soil physical, chemical and biological environment. Tillage impinges on biological, chemical and physical soil properties and therefore influences the release of the greenhouse gases [32]. Tillage enhances the surface roughness and void spaces that iterate the CO_2 emission to the atmosphere [33,34]. In our study, we have found less CO_2 emission under ZTDSR as compared to SRI and TPR (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 2). Plant acquires highest root biomass during its maximum tillering stage, simultaneously, the microbial and root respiration also enhanced; hence, higher CO_2 emission was observed. This could be due to the higher availability of root exudates and organic matter facilitates heterotrophic decomposition [34].

Choudhury et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 734-748, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119754



Fig. 3. Seasonal emission of GHGs emission in various Crop Growth Stages of rice and wheat as influenced by nutrient management

4.3 Effect of Planting Techniques and Nutrient Management Practices on N₂O Emission

N₂O produced in soils is mainly by dual microbial processes i.e., nitrification and denitrification [35]. Tillage influences physical, chemical and biological soil properties and therefore influences the emission of the greenhouse gases [32]. But there is large uncertainty regarding the higher N₂O emissions from zero tillage than conventional tillage soils [36,37] N_2O or emissions diminish after the long-term practice of no-tillage [38-40]. In our study, we have found a significant reduction in N2O emission under ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} as compared to conventional practice (Tables 2 and 3). Residue addition in zero tillage had increased carbon: nitrogen ratio associated with this combination caused temporary immobilization of nitrogen which may act as a substrate for further nitrification and denitrification process, be the cause of reduced N₂O emission [41]. Mineral fertilization further augmented the N₂O emission because nitrogen fertilizer application provides the substrate for the processes driving the soil N₂O emissions [42,43], resulted in higher emissions of N2O to the extent of 73% of the total annual emission [44].

4.4 Global Warming Potential and Greenhouse Gas Intensity

The impact of different management practices on CH_4 and N_2O emissions estimated through GWP

for a 100-year horizon. Rice systems have been identified as a substantial source of CH₄ emissions [45]. Water management in rice systems is the prime factor for methane emission [46], in addition to carbon input (i.e. residue addition) [12,25] and fertilizer management [47-49] because methane is the end product of organic matter decomposition under anaerobic condition [50]. Intermittent wetting and drying durina the rice-growing season (in SRI) substantially reduced the GWP by emitting a lesser amount of CH₄ as compared to TPR. Sander et al. [51] also found that periodical drying and wetting condition had reduced the GWP during the cropping season by 26% relative to continuous flooded condition. The rice rhizosphere at the maximum tillering stage is subjected to intense reducing conditions among the all phonological stages of rice, prop up the formation of CH₄ [46,52], emission hike could also be due to anaerobic decomposition of root exudates and decomposed rice roots biomass [23]. Declined CH₄ emission at crop maturity may result in due to less C input into the soil from below-ground crop biomass, assimilates for methane production [53]. Methane and nitrous oxide emission are the key regulating factor for GWP and they bear a trade-off relationship. GWP in wheat was 2.28 times less under the ZT system as compared to the conventional one. This may be due to higher mineralization of nitrogen caused higher substrate availability for denitrification. This observation was consistent with the other studies carried out by [54 and 33].

Conventional tillage attributed higher gas diffusion rates than ZT and made an impossible barrier for further reduction of N_2O to N_2 by the denitrifying organisms [55].

GHGI appraises the agronomic efficiency of management practices that begins to address both climate change and future food supply concerns [56]. ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} reduced the GHGI by 2.13-2.20 times than SRI-CW and TPR-CWRi (Table 3). Our experiment attains lower yield in ZTDSR, however, long term study showed that the ZTDSR excel over the TPR [57]. To our knowledge, these are the first instances where yield, profitability, GWP and GHGI are taken into account together for assessing the best management practices in rice-wheat systems. Consistent with our hypothesis, these results suggested the modification in planting techniques and nutrient management strategies bring into maximum produce at the same time reduce GWP and maximize profitability in the intensive rice-wheat production system [56,58].

5. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the results from our field studies and found that the planting techniques and nutrient management practices had an immense influence on GHGs emissions, productivity and profitability in the rice-wheat system. The cost incurred in all of these options must be taken into consideration while assessing the economic viability of any system. SRI had increased the grain yield by 18.5% over ZTDSR, whereas ZTW_{Rr} attributed 34.7% higher grain vield over CW. However, the highest system productivity (REY) was obtained under ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} system. This system also curtailed down CH₄ and N₂O emission by 62.7 and 48% respectively over TPR-CW_{Ri}, consequently, the GHGI and GWP were reduced by 2.13-2.20 and 2.0-2.18 times, respectively. Green manure behaves differently than other nutrient management practices. It did not influence the GWP but, the significant reduced the GHGI by increasing the system productivity by 4.27% was and reducing the GHGI 4.56% over 100% NPKi. Thus, ZTDSR-ZTW_{Rr} along with 100% NPK_i and green manuring in rice could be an economically feasible option to retard greenhouse gas emission and uphold future food supply. However, the trade-off relationship between CH₄ and N₂O must be taken under consideration while adopting any mitigation strategies to reduce GWP in rice-wheat systems.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the honourable Vice Chancellor, Bihar Agricultural University, and Director of Research, Bihar Agricultural University for valuable suggestion and constant encouragement throughout the experimentation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fischer TR, Byerlee D, Edmeades GO. Can technology deliver on the yield challenge to 2050. In: PieroConforti (Ed.); Looking Ahead in World Food and Agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; OECD Publishing; 2; rue André-Pascal; 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16. 2011;389-447.
- 2. Laik Ranjan, Sharma Sheetal, Idris M, Singh AK, Singh SS, Bhatt BP, Saharawat Yashpal, Humphreys E, Ladha JK. Integration of conservation agriculture with best management practices for improving system performance of the rice-wheat rotation in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2014;195:68–82.
- Bouman BAM, Lampayan RM, Tuong TP. Water Management in Irrigated Rice: Coping with Water Scarcity. International Rice Research Institute; Philippines; Los Baños; Philippines; 2007.
- 4. Kukal SS, Hira GS, Sidhu AS. Soil matric potential-based irrigation scheduling to rice (Oryza sativa). Irrig. Sci. 2005;23:153–159.
- Yadav Sudhir, Humphreys E, Kukal SS, Gill G, Rangarajan R. Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice: Part 2: water balance and water productivity. Field Crops Res. 2011;120:123–132.
- 6. Barker R, Molle F. Evolution of irrigation in south and Southeast Asia. Comprehensive Assessment Research Report.

Comprehensive Assessment Secretariat, Colombo, Sri Lanka. 2004;45.

- Jassal RS, Black TA, Roy R, Ethier G. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on soil CH₄ and N₂O fluxes; and soil and bole respiration. Geoderma. 2011;162:182–186.
- Lavoie M, Kellman L, Risk D. The effects of clear-cutting on soil CO₂; CH₄; and N₂O flux; storage and concentration in two Atlantic temperate forests in Nova Scotia; Canada. Forest Ecol. Manag. 2013;304: 355–369.
- Mosier AR, Halvorson AD, Reule CA, Liu XJ. Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in North-eastern Colorado. J. Environ. Qual. 2006; 35:1584–1598.
- IPCC. Climate change: the physical science basis. In: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge; the United Kingdom and New York; NY; USA; 2007.
- Smith P, Martino D, Cai Z, Gwary D, 11. Janzen H, Kumar P, et al. Agriculture. In: Metz; B.; Davidson; O.R.; Bosch; P.R. (Eds.); Climate Change 2007: Mitigation Contribution of Working Group III to the Report Fourth Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge; UK/New York. 2007;497-540.
- Bhattacharyya P, Roy KS, Neogi S, Adhya TK, Rao KS, Manna MC. Effects of rice straw and nitrogen fertilization on greenhouse gas emissions and carbon storage in tropical flooded soil planted with rice. Soil Till. Res. 2012;124:119–130.
- 13. Kumar V, Ladha JK. Direct seeding of rice. Adv. Agron. 2011;111:297–413.
- Singh UP, Singh Y, Virender Kumar, Ladha JK. Evaluation and promotion of resource-conserving tillage and crop establishment techniques in the rice-wheat system of eastern India. In: Ladha JK, Yadvinder-Singh Erenstein O, Hardy B. (Eds.); Integrated Crop and Resource Management in the Rice–Wheat System of South Asia. International Rice Research Institute; Los Baños; Philippines. 2009; 151–176.
- 15. Matson PA, Naylor R, Ortiz-Monasterio I. Integration of environmental; agronomic; and economic aspects of fertilizer management. Science. 1998;280:112–115.

- Ruser R, Flessa H, Schilling R, Beese F, Munch JC. Effect of crop-specific field management and N fertilization on N₂O emissions from a fine-loamy soil. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 2001;59:177–191.
- Scheer C, Wassmann R, Klenzler K, Lbragimov N, Eschanov R. Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilized irrigated cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in the Aral Sea Basin; Uzbekistan: influence of nitrogen applications and irrigation practices. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2008;40:290–301.
- Zheng XH, Wang MX, Wang YS, Shen RX, Li J. Comparison of manual and automatic methods for measurement of methane emission from rice paddy fields. Adv. Atmos. Sci. 1998;15(4):569–579.
- Watson RT, Zinyowera MC, Moss RH, Dokken DJ. Climate change: Impacts; adaptations and mitigation of climate change. Scientific Technical Report Analyses. In: Watson RT, Zinyowera MC, Ross RH. (Eds.); Contribution of Working Group II to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge; New York. 1996;880.
- 20. Shang Q, Yang X, Gao C, Wu P, Liu J, Xu Y, Shen Q, Zou J, Guo S. Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double ricecropping systems: А 3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments. Global Change Biol. 2010;17: 2196-2210.
- Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research; 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons; New York; 1984.
- 22. SAS Institute Inc. SAS/STAT® 9.2 User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc.; Cary; NC; 2009.
- Kimura M, Miura Y, Watanabe A, Katoh T, Haraguchi H. Methane emission from paddy field (part 1). Effect of fertilization; growth stage and midsummer drainage: Pot experiment. Environ Sci.1991;14:265-271.
- Jia ZG, Cai ZC, Xu H, Li XP. Effect of rice plants on CH₄ production; transport; oxidation and emission in rice paddy soil. Plant Soil .2001;230:211–221.
- 25. Ahmad S, Li C, Dai G, Zhan M, Wang J, Pan S, Cao, C. Greenhouse gas emission from direct seeding paddy field under different rice tillage systems in central China. Soil Till. Res. 2009;106:54–61.
- 26. Banik A, Sen M, Sen S. Methane emission from paddy soils fertilized with Cowdung

and farmyard manure. Chemosphere. 1995;30(6):1193-1208.

- Zou J, Huang, Y, Jiang, J, Zheng, X, Sass RL. A 3-year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application, Global Biogeochem. Cyc. 2005;19:GB2021.
- Khosa MK, Sidhu BS, Benbi DK. Effect of organic materials and rice cultivars on methane emission from rice field. J. Environ. Biol. 2010;31:281.
- Soane BD, Ball BC, Arvidsson J, Basch G, Moreno F, Roger-Estrade J. No-till in northern: western and south-western Europe: A review of problems and opportunities for crop production and the environment. Soil Till. Res. 2012;118:66– 87.
- 30. Zhang Yuefang, Sheng Jing, Wang Zichen, Chen Liugen, Zheng Jianchu. Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from a Chinese wheat–rice cropping system under different tillage practices during the wheat-growing season. Soil Till. Res. 2015;146:261–269.
- 31. Xu H, Cai ZC, Tsuruta H. Soil moisture between rice-growing seasons affects methane emission production, and oxidation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2003;67:1147–1157.
- Oorts K, Merckx R, Grehan E, Labreuche J, Nicolardot B. Determinants of annual fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems in northern France. Soil Till. Res. 2007;95: 133–148.
- Reicosky DC. Tillage induced CO₂ emission. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 1997; 49:273–285.
- 34. Robertson GP, Paul EA, Harwood RR. Greenhouse gases in intensive agriculture: Contribution of individual gases to the radiative forcing of the atmosphere. Science. 2000;289:1922–1925.
- Granli T, Bøckman OC. Nitrous oxide from agriculture. Norwegian J. Agric. Sci. 1994; 12:1–128.
- Ball BC, Scott A, Parker JP. Field N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in relation to tillage: compaction and soil quality in Scotland. Soil Till. Res.1999;53:29–39.
- 37. Vinten AJA, Ball BC, O'sullivan MF, Henshall JK. The effects of cultivation method, fertilizer input and previous sward type on organic C and N storage and

gaseous losses under spring and winter barley following long-term leys. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 2002;139:231–243.

- Yamulki S, Jarvis SC. Short-term effects of tillage and compaction on nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, methane and carbon dioxide fluxes from grassland. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 2002;36:224–231.
- Elmi AA, Madramootoo C, Hamel C, Liu A. Denitrification and nitrous oxide to nitrous oxide plus dinitrogen ratios in the soil profile under three tillage systems. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 2003;38:340–348.
- 40. Six J, Ogle ST, Breidt FJ, Contant RT, Mosier AR, Paustian K. The potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term. Global Change Biol. 2004;10:155–160.
- 41. Bhatia A, Pathak H, Jain N, Singh PK, Singh AK. Global warming potential of manure amended soils under rice–wheat system in the Indo-Gangetic plains. Atmos. Environ. 2005;39:6976–6984.
- 42. Yao Z, Zhou Z, Zheng X, Xie B, Mei B, Wang R, Butterbach-Bahl K, Zhu J. Effects of organic matter incorporation on nitrous oxide emissions from rice-wheat rotation ecosystems in China. Plant Soil. 2010;327:315–330.
- 43. Xia Longlong, Wang Shuwei, Yan Xiaoyuan. Effects of long-term straw incorporation on the net global warming potential and the net economic benefit in a rice-wheat cropping system in China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2014;197:118–127.
- 44. Cui F, Yan GX, Zhou ZX, Zheng, XH, Deng, J. Annual emissions of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from a wheat-maize cropping system on a silt loam calcareous soil in the North China Plain. Soil Biol.Biochem. 2012;48:10–19.
- 45. Linquist B, Van Groenigen KJ, Adviento-Borbe MA, Pittelkow C, Van Kessel C. An agronomic assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from major cereal crops. Global Change Biol. 2012a;18:194–209.
- 46. Singh JS, Singh Smita, Raghubanshi AS, Singh Saranath, Kashyap AK. Methane flux from rice/wheat agro-ecosystem as affected by crop phenology, fertilization and water level. Plant Soil. 1996;183:323-327.
- 47. Ma J, Li XL, Xu H, Han Y, Cai ZC, Yagi K. Effects of nitrogen fertiliser and wheat straw application on CH₄ and N₂O

emissions from a paddy rice field. Aust. J. Soil. Res. 2007;45:359–367.

- 48. Linquist BA, Adviento-Borbe MA, Pittelkow CM, Van Kessel C, Van Groenigen KJ. Fertilizer management practices and greenhouse gas emissions from rice systems: a quantitative review and analysis. Field Crops Res. 2012b;135:10–21.
- Smith K, Watts D, Way T, Torbert H, Prior S. Impact of Tillage and Fertilizer Application Method on Gas Emissions in a Corn Cropping System. Pedosphere. 2012; 22(5):604–615.
- 50. Conrad R. Control of microbial methane production in wetland rice fields. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys. 2002;64:59–69.
- 51. Sander Bjoern Ole, Samson Marianne, Buresh Roland J. Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from flooded rice fields as affected by water and straw management between rice crops. Geoderma. 2014;235– 236:355–362.
- 52. Adhya TK, Rath AK, Gupta PK, Rao VR, Das SN, Parida KM, Parashar DC, Sethunathan N. Methane emission from flooded rice fields under irrigated conditions. Biol. Fert. Soils. 1994;18:245-248.
- 53. Bayer Cimélio, Zschornack Tiago, Pedroso Gabriel Munhoz, Machado, da Rosa Carla, Camargo, Estefania Silva, Boeni, Madalena, Marcolin, Elio, et al. A sevenyear study on the effects of fall soil tillage

on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emission from flood irrigated rice in a humid subtropical climate. SoilTill. Res. 2014;145:118–125.

- 54. Mutegi JK, Munkholm LJ, Petersen BM, Hansen EM, Petersen SO. Nitrous oxide emissions and controls as influenced by tillage and crop residue management strategy. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2010;42: 1701–1711.
- Webster FA, Hopkins DW. Contributions from different microbial processes to N₂O emission from soil under different moisture regimes. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 1996;22:331– 335.
- Grassini P, Cassman KG. High-yield maize with large net energy yield and small global warming intensity. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012;109:1074–1079.
- 57. Jat Raj Kumar, Sapkota Tek B, Singh Ravi G, Jat ML, Kumar Mukesh, Gupta Raj K. Seven years of conservation agriculture in a rice-wheat rotation of Eastern Gangetic Plains of South Asia: Yield trends and economic profitability. Field Crops Res. 2014;164:199–210.
- 58. Pittelkow Cameron M, Adviento-Borbe Maria A, Hill James, E Six Johan, Van Kessel Chris, Linquist Bruce A. Yieldscaled global warming potential of annual nitrous oxide and methane emissions from continuously flooded rice in response to nitrogen input. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2013;177:10–20.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119754