



Evaluation of Morphological Traits in Annona Hybrids

Sushmitha B. H ^{a++*} and Sakthivel T. ^{b#}

^a ICAR- Indian Institute of Horticulture Research, Hesragatta Lake Road, Bangaluru, India.

^b Division of Fruit Crops, ICAR- Indian Institute of Horticulture Research, Hesragatta Lake Road, Bangaluru, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71040>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119066>

Original Research Article

Received: 14/04/2024

Accepted: 19/06/2024

Published: 22/06/2024

ABSTRACT

This study, conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Indian Institute of Horticultural Research in Bangalore, India, from 2020 to 2023, evaluated phenotypic diversity among progenies from the cross between 'Arka Sahan' and 'Balanagar.' Significant variability was observed in leaf, fruit, and tree characteristics, highlighting the potential for breeding improved Annona cultivars. Growth habits varied, with most progenies exhibiting a columnar or erect form, and a smaller subset showing a spreading growth habit. Leaf characteristics, including length, width, and petiole length, showed considerable variation, aligning with previous research findings. Fruit traits such as weight, length, width, peel, pulp, and seed weight also displayed substantial variation. Few Progenies consistently recorded the highest values for several traits, marking it as a prime candidate for further development. The study underscores the importance of phenotypic diversity for breeding superior Annona varieties, contributing to advancements in pomology and horticulture.

⁺⁺ PHD Scholar;

[#] Principal Scientist;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sushmithareddybh47@gmail.com;

Cite as: B. H. Sushmitha, and Sakthivel T. 2024. "Evaluation of Morphological Traits in Annona Hybrids". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (7):813-19. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71040>.

Keywords: *Annona*; morphological characters; progenies; variability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Annona, a genus within the Annonaceae family, encompasses 120 species, with six being particularly important in pomology. Despite their morphological similarities, each *Annona* species displays distinct characteristics in shape, size, skin texture, pulp color, and flavor, as described by Jalikop [1]. This phenotypic diversity, combined with the broad adaptability of *Annona* trees and consumer preference for their fruits, highlights the commercial potential of cultivating these species. Among the edible *Annona* species, the sugar apple (*Annona squamosa* L.), cherimoya (*A. cherimola* Mill.), and the hybrid atemoya are especially significant and economically important in various tropical and subtropical regions, according to Batten [2] and Pena et al. [3]. Cherimoya is commercially cultivated in countries such as Chile, Ecuador, Colombia, Australia, Spain, and California [4]. The sugar apple is notable in tropical regions including South America, Mexico, China, Africa, Egypt, the West Indies, and India, as noted by Morton [5] and Jalikop [1].

Globally, hybridization efforts within the *Annona* genus are concentrated in four research groups located in Australia, America, Israel, and India, as documented by Zill and Mahdeem (1998) and Kumar and Jalikop [1]. Within our *Annona* breeding program, a notable recombinant known as 'Arka Sahan,' resulting from the cross between *A. atemoya* and *A. squamosa*, has gained commercial significance [6-10]. The objective of this study was to generate progeny from the cross between 'Arka Sahan' and 'Balanagar,' aiming to investigate the segregation patterns of tree and fruit traits in hybrids and to identify self-fruitful progenies of *Annona*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted from 2020 to 2023 at the Experimental Farm of the Indian Institute of Horticultural Research in Bangalore, India. The farm, located at an elevation of 890 meters above sea level in the mild tropics (13°58' N, 77°37' E), features sandy clay loam soils classified as alfisols. Progenies were derived from 15-year-old trees of Arka Sahan and Balanagar, and the experiment involved 8-year-old *Annona* progenies. A total of 30 progenies were selected for the study. Due to the lack of a

descriptor list for *A. squamosa*, the descriptor list of *A. cherimola* Mill. (Cherimoya), compiled by the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI, 2008), was used. The morphological descriptors of these 30 hybrids were measured and analyzed in this study.

2.1 Data Analysis

The data collected on individual characteristics were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis using descriptive methods with 30 selected progenies. Observations were made on each plant based on the descriptor list for *A. cherimola* published by IPGRI (2008), which included both quantitative and visual characteristics. Non-parametric data were converted to scales as proposed by IPGRI in the descriptor for *A. cherimola* (IPGRI, 2008).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A wide range of variability was observed in various leaf, fruit, and tree characteristics, including leaf shape, fruit length, and fruit weight. Among the progeny resulting from the cross between 'Arka Sahan' and 'Balanagar,' the majority (21 out of 30 progenies) exhibited a columnar or erect growth habit, while 9 progenies displayed a spreading growth habit. Additionally, 24 progenies had an ovate leaf shape, while 6 progenies exhibited a spreading growth habit. This variability in growth patterns is consistent with findings from previous research on progenies of interspecific crosses of *Annona* [11]. In terms of plant height, the progenies ranged from 1.7 to 3.1 meters. Leaf characteristics showed considerable variation: leaf length ranged from 4.6 to 11.6 cm, leaf width varied from 3.0 to 5.1 cm, and petiole length ranged from 1.0 to 2.1 cm. The results for leaf size, in terms of both length and width, were found to be in agreement with previous research findings by Thakur and Singh [12]. This detailed observation of leaf and growth characteristics among the progenies highlights the significant phenotypic diversity within the hybrids. Such diversity is critical for selecting desirable traits for breeding programs aimed at improving *Annona* cultivars.

The data regarding fruit characteristics, including fruit weight, length, width, peel, pulp, and seed weight, are presented in Table 2. The average fruit weight among the progenies ranged from

40.0 to 429.0 grams. Specifically, the fruit length varied from 2.8 to 9.0 cm, with progeny 5/2 recording the maximum fruit length of 9.0 cm, and progeny 4/6 having the minimum length of 2.8 cm. Fruit width exhibited a range of 2.9 to 7.5 cm, where progeny 5/2 again showed the maximum width of 7.5 cm, while progeny 2/2 had the minimum width of 2.9 cm. The pedicel weight ranged from 0.65 to 1.71 grams, with progeny 7/11 having the maximum pedicel weight of 1.71 grams and progeny 6/15 showing the minimum weight of 0.65 grams. In terms of peel weight, the values ranged from 21.5 to 182.5 grams. The highest peel weight was observed in progeny 5/2 at 182.5 grams, and the lowest was in progeny 3/5 at 21.5 grams. The pulp weight varied significantly, ranging from 11.3 to 230.6 grams.

Progeny 5/2 had the highest pulp weight of 230.6 grams, whereas progeny 4/6 had the lowest pulp weight of 11.3 grams. Seed weight also showed considerable variation, ranging from 1.0 to 14.5 grams. The highest seed weight was recorded in progeny 5/2 at 14.5 grams, and the lowest was in progeny 2/2 at 1.0 gram. The results presented in Table 2 align with the findings of previous studies by *Agustin et al.* [13], *Dikshit et al.* [14], and *Rao and Subramanyam* [15]. This detailed analysis of fruit characters highlights the substantial variability within the progenies, which is crucial for selecting and breeding *Annona* cultivars with desirable fruit traits. Such data are essential for developing improved varieties with better commercial and nutritional value [16].

Table 1. Morphological characteristics of *Annona* progenies

Sl. No	Progeny	Spreading Habit	Leaf Shape	Plant Height (m)	Leaf Length (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Petiole Length (cm)
1	1\6	Erect	Ovate	2.8	13.7	5.6	2.1
2	1\8	Spreading	Elliptic	2.2	14.9	5.9	1.5
3	1\9	Spreading	Ovate	2.5	14.2	5.7	2.1
4	2\2	Erect	Ovate	1.8	16.1	7.8	2.1
5	2\3	Erect	Elliptic	2.7	11.6	5.1	1.6
6	2\7	Erect	Elliptic	2.9	13.8	5.9	1.7
7	2\11	Erect	Ovate	2.6	16.2	7.2	1.0
8	2\15	Spreading	Ovate	2.2	13.1	6.2	1.4
9	2\16	Erect	Ovate	2.2	15.5	5.5	1.3
10	3\5	Erect	Ovate	2.6	12.9	6.0	1.0
11	3\10	Erect	Ovate	2.5	12.9	5.4	1.9
12	3\13	Erect	Ovate	1.9	16.0	6.7	1.4
13	3\14	Erect	Ovate	1.8	13.4	5.8	2.1
14	4\6	Erect	Elliptic	2.4	11.8	5.2	1.8
15	4\14	Spreading	Ovate	1.7	11.9	5.5	1.4
16	5\2	Erect	Elliptic	1.8	14.5	6.1	1.5
17	5\4	Spreading	Ovate	3.1	13.4	5.3	1.2
18	6\4	Erect	Ovate	1.8	12.9	5.7	1.2
19	6\8	Spreading	Ovate	2.8	15.4	5.7	1.6
20	6\14	Erect	Ovate	2.5	13.5	6.3	1.5
21	6\15	Spreading	Ovate	3.1	15.0	7.2	2.1
22	6\16	Erect	Elliptic	3.1	15.1	8.1	1.6
23	7\11	Erect	Ovate	2.4	12.7	5.4	1.8
24	8\11	Spreading	Ovate	2.4	16.2	7.7	1.5
25	8\17	Erect	Ovate	2.4	15.4	7.4	1.4
26	8\18	Erect	Ovate	2.8	15.2	6.7	1.1
27	9\8	Spreading	Ovate	2.3	14.6	5.5	1.4
28	10\12	Erect	Ovate	2.2	15.7	6.8	1.7
29	10\16	Erect	Ovate	2.1	14.7	7.7	1.1
30	10\19	Erect	Ovate	2.3	12.0	5.2	1.7
	Mean	-	-	2.39	14.14	6.21	1.56
	C.V	-	-	16.74	9.97	14.33	21.15
	S. E.	-	-	0.07	0.25	0.16	0.06
	Range	-	-	1.7 – 3.1	4.6 – 11.6	3.0 – 5.1	1.0 – 2.1

Table 2. Fruits and yield traits of *Annona* progenies

Sl. no	Progeny	Average Fruit Weight (g)	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Width (cm)	Pedicle Weight (cm)	Peel Weight (cm)	Pulp Weight (cm)	Seed Weight (cm)
1	1\6	108	5.3	5.0	1.22	42.0	62.3	2.5
2	1\8	178	6.7	5.3	1.44	70.0	102.1	4.5
3	1\9	174	6.5	4.5	0.81	72.0	99.2	2.0
4	2\2	41	3.0	2.9	1.06	24.0	14.9	1.0
5	2\3	147	5.3	5.8	1.58	70.0	71.9	3.5
6	2\7	80	5.0	5.0	1.07	32.0	36.9	10.0
7	2\11	166	6.5	5.0	1.43	68.0	92.6	4.0
8	2\15	162	6.8	5.8	1.38	46.0	110.6	4.0
9	2\16	136	6.6	5.0	1.50	60.5	72.0	2.0
10	3\5	46	4.0	3.5	1.07	21.5	21.9	1.5
11	3\10	56	4.5	4.0	1.33	28.5	23.2	3.0
12	3\13	138	6.6	5.0	1.41	57.0	76.6	3.0
13	3\14	119	5.5	4.3	1.11	54.0	62.9	1.0
14	4\6	40	2.8	3.0	1.16	26.5	11.3	1.0
15	4\14	185	5.5	7.0	1.47	69.0	109.0	5.5
16	5\2	429	9.0	7.5	1.39	182.5	230.6	14.5
17	5\4	132	5.3	5.1	1.30	56.5	69.2	5.0
18	6\4	153	5.3	6.0	1.61	66.5	79.9	5.0
19	6\8	121	5.3	5.1	1.30	39.0	77.7	3.0
20	6\14	69	3.5	4.5	1.22	36.0	29.3	2.5
21	6\15	130	5.4	5.7	0.65	41.5	83.4	4.5
22	6\16	128	5.2	5.0	1.26	48.0	74.2	4.5
23	7\11	119	5.2	5.0	1.71	49.0	62.3	6.0
24	8\11	90	5.0	4.4	1.25	38.0	48.8	2.0
25	8\17	83	5.2	4.9	1.29	37.0	43.2	1.5
26	8\18	107	5.0	5.0	1.41	47.0	56.1	2.5
27	9\8	140	6.0	4.8	1.61	62.0	67.4	9.0
28	10\12	107	4.9	5.0	1.13	43.0	60.9	2.0
29	10\16	106	5.5	4.8	1.21	48.0	54.8	2.0
30	10\19	221	6.8	6.5	1.64	85.0	132.4	2.0
Mean		130.66	5.44	5.0	1.30	54.0	71.2	3.8

Sl. no	Progeny	Average Fruit Weight (g)	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Width (cm)	Pedicle Weight (cm)	Peel Weight (cm)	Pulp Weight (cm)	Seed Weight (cm)
	C.V	54.91	22.61	19.80	17.69	53.89	59.00	77.63
	S. E.	13.10	0.22	0.18	0.04	5.31	7.67	0.53
	Range	40 - 429	2.8 - 9.0	2.9 - 7.5	0.65 - 1.71	21.5-182.5	11.3 - 230.6	1.0 -14.5

4. CONCLUSION

This study, conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Indian Institute of Horticultural Research in Bangalore, India, from 2020 to 2023, revealed significant phenotypic diversity among the progenies resulting from the cross between 'Arka Sahan' and 'Balanagar.' The observed variability in leaf, fruit, and tree characteristics underscores the potential for selecting and breeding improved *Annona* cultivars. This detailed analysis highlights the substantial phenotypic variability within the progenies, which is crucial for breeding *Annona* cultivars with enhanced commercial and nutritional value. The insights gained from this study will aid in the selection and development of superior *Annona* varieties, contributing to advancements in pomology and horticulture.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Jalikop SH, Chadha KL. Annonaceous fruits. Handbook of Hort. New Delhi: ICAR. 2000;109-14.
2. Batten DJ. Effect of temperature on ripening and post-harvest life of fruit of atemoya (*Annona cherimola* Mill. × *A. squamosa* L.) cv. 'African Pride'. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 1990;45(1-2):129-136.
3. Peña JE, Nadel H, Barbosa-Pereira M, Smith D. Pollinators and pests of *Annona* species. In *Tropical fruit pests and pollinators: biology, economic importance, natural enemies and control* Wallingford UK: CABI Publishing. 2002;197-221. DOI:10.1079/9780851994345.0197
4. Lüdders P. Cherimoya (*Annona cherimola* Mill.)-botany, cultivation, storage and uses of a Tropical-Subtropical Fruit; 2002.
5. Morton J. Sugar apple. In: Morton JF (ed) *Fruits of warm climate*. Creative Resources, Miami. 1987;69-72.
6. Jalikop SH, Kumar R. Pseudo-xenic Effect of Allied *Annona* spp. Pollen in Hand Pollination of cv. 'Arka Sahan' [(*A. cherimolax A. squamosa*) × *A. squamosa*]. *HortScience*. 2007;42(7):1534-1538. Available:https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.42.7.1534.
7. Saha, Sajal, Deepa Bhadana, Pankaj Kumar Shah, Chaturvedi HP, Verma PN, Rajib Das, Rinkey Arya, Pravesh Kumar, Sagar Agarwal, and D. Purushotama Rao. Genoplasmics: Advancing plant germplasm research through genomics. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(21):106-16. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i213951.
8. Monforte AJ, Oliver M, Gonzalo MJ, Alvarez JM, Dolcet-Sanjuan R, Arus P. Identification of quantitative trait loci involved in fruit quality traits in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2004;108:750-8.
9. Wilkes G, Williams JT. Current status of crop plant germplasm. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 1983;1(2):133-81.
10. Jalikop SH. Tree and fruit traits of progenies from the cross between (*Annona cherimola* Mill. × *A. squamosa* L.) × *A. reticulata* L. and approaches for the introgression of valuable genes from *A. reticulata* L. *Euphytica*. 2010;173:161-171. Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0059-5.
11. Thakur DR, Singh RN. Pomological description and classification of some annonas. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 1967;24(1and2):11-19.
12. Agustín JA, Gonzalez-Andres F, Nieto-Angel, Barrientos-Priego. Morphometry of the organs of cherimoya (*Annona cherimola* Mill.) and analysis of fruit parameters for the characterization of cultivars, and Mexican germplasm selections. *Scientia Hort*. 2006;107:337-346.
13. Dikshit N, Bharad SG, Badge MP. Diversity in custard apple germplasm collections from Maharashtra, India. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*. 2008;21(1):95-96.
14. Rao Dhanumjaya K, Subramanyam K. Growth and yield performance of custard apple germplasm under scarce rainfall zone. *Indian J. Agric. Res*. 2011;45(2):156-160.
15. Zill GE, Mahdeem H. General observations on hybridizing *Annona* species. In

- Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society. 1998;111:314-315.
16. Kumar, Jayanth M, Kranthi Rekha G, Venkata Ramana C, Rajani A, Salomi Suneetha DR. Genetic Analysis of Yield Traits in Snake Gourd (*Trichosanthes anguina* L.) Genotypes. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2024;46(5):194-201. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i52370>.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119066>